



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/707,143

11/24/2003

Damian Arregui

132403

1142

25944 7590 04/22/2009  
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC  
P.O. BOX 320850  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

LIU, LIN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2445

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/22/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |                                       |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/707,143 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>ARREGUI ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>LIN LIU           | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2445               |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LIN LIU. (3) Obert Chu.

(2) Patrice Winder. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 April 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7 and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Serbinis et al. (Patent no.: US 6,584,466 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A general discussion of the claims were conducted with Applicant's representative, Obert Chu. No agreement was made. Applicant is advised to further clarify the subject matters in claims 7 and 9..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

|   |  |
|---|--|
| /Patrice Winder/<br>Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445 |  |
|---|--|